August 2007 TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY PAGE 1

2007 CAMPUS ACCOUNTABILITY DATA TABLES - STANDARD PROCEDURES

DISTRICT NAME: GOOSE CREEK CONS

CAMPUS NAME: BAYTOWN J H
CAMPUS NUMBER: 101911041

Campus Rating: Academically Acceptable

Grade Span: 06 - 08

Analysis groups used to determine ratings are marked with an 'X'.

Academically Acceptable standards are shown in parentheses.

Grade 8 science results are not included because they are not used in the 2007 accountability system. Special formats ('*', >99%, <1%) are used to protect student confidentiality.

TEXAS ASSESSMEN				,					Requir	64	
		2007				2006			Improve	ment	
					•						
D	Number	371	Pct	Stu	Number	371	Pct	Met			30-1-
Performance	Met	Number		-	Met	Number		Min	Act		Met
Results	Std	Taking	Std	%	Std	Taking	Std	Size	Chg	RI	RI?
Reading/ELA (65	5%)										
All Students	550	630	87%	100%	568	686	83%		4		
African Amer	90	111	81%	18%	94	117	80%		1		
Hispanic	320	368	87%	58%	314	397	79%		8		
White	137	148	93%	23%	156	167	93%		0		
Econ Disadv	356	417	85%	66%	377	478	79%		6		
Writing (65%)											
All Students	180	202	89%	100%	224	243	92%		-3		
African Amer	34	37		18%	38	41	93%		-1		
Hispanic	107	124	86%		124	137			-5		
White	*	*		*		62			0		
Econ Disadv	119	135	88%	67%	158	174	91%		-3		
Social Studies	(65%)										
All Students	190	226	84%	100%	176	217	81%		3		
African Amer	33	39	85%	17%	29	38	76%		9		
Hispanic	101	125	81%	55%	90	119	76%		5		
White	54	60	90%	27%	55	58	95%		-5		
Econ Disadv		148		65%	112	148	76%		4		
Mathematics (45	=0.1										
•	•	620	770.	1000	FOC	600	740.		2		
All Students	486	628		100%		688	74%	**	3		
African Amer	76	112		18%	67	121		Yes	13	**	No
Hispanic	282	367		58%		396			4		
White	126	146	86%	23%	144	166			-1		
Econ Disadv	307	419	73%	67%	334	482	69%	Yes	4	3	Yes
Science (40%)											
All Students	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		*		
African Amer	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		*		
Hispanic	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		*		
White	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		*		
Econ Disadv	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		*		
** Met the mir	nimum size	requiremen	nt, but o	did not	meet the	70% floor	for Rec	ognize	d.		
STATE-DEVELOPED) ALTERNATI	VE ASSESSI	MENT II	(SDAA II) TABLE				Requi	rod	
I		2007				- 2006			Improv	ement	;
	# Toata		Dat	G	# 700-		Da+	W≏+			
CDAA TT	# Tests	п	Pct	Stu	# Tests	ш	Pct	Met	.		
SDAA II	Met	_ #	Met	Grp	Met	_ #.	Met		Act		Met
Results (50%)	ARD	Tests	ARD	%	ARD	Tests	ARD	Size	Chg	F	RI RI
All Students	102	123	83%	100%	67	94	71%		12		
EXCEPTIONS TABI	ĿE										
Number Msrs Evaluated	Number Allowed	Number Needed	Floor Met		Msr(s) Use in 2006		ions Ap	plied			
Evaluated											

August 2007 TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY PAGE 2

2007 CAMPUS ACCOUNTABILITY DATA TABLES - STANDARD PROCEDURES

DISTRICT NAME: GOOSE CREEK CONS

CAMPUS NAME: BAYTOWN J H Campus Rating: Academically Acceptable

CAMPUS NUMBER: 101911041 Grade Span: 06 - 08

Analysis groups used to determine ratings are marked with an 'X'.

Academically Acceptable standards are shown in parentheses.

Grade 8 science results are not included because they are not used in the 2007 accountability system.

Special formats ('*', >99%, <1%) are used to protect student confidentiality.

COMPLETION I RATE TABLE (Gr. 9-12) (75.0%)

		Class	of 2006	5Class			of 2005			Required - Improvement		
	# Com- pleters	# dropouts	# in Class	Comp Rate	Stu Grp %	# Com- pleters	# in Class	Comp Rate	Met Min Size	Act Chg	RI	Met RI?
All Students	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_		
African Amer	_	-	_	_	-	-	_	-		-		
Hispanic	_	-	_	_	-	-	_	-		-		
White	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		
Econ Disadv	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_		

Decreases in completion rates may be due to significant changes in the dropout definition beginning with the 2005-06 school year.

Completion data not evaluated for your accountability rating due to grade span, small numbers, or no data.

ANNUAL DROPOUT RATE TABLE (Gr. 7-8) (1.0%)

|-----|

	# Dropouts	# 7-8 Graders	Dropout Rate	Stu Grp %
All Students	4	649	0.6%	100%
African Amer	2	151	1.3%	23%
Hispanic	2	339	0.6%	52%
White	0	155	0.0%	24%
Econ Disadv	3	459	0.7%	71%

Due to definitional changes, Annual Dropout Rate Required Improvement is not calculated in 2007.

Dropout data not evaluated for your accountability rating due to grade span, small numbers, or no data.